

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/625,797 | Applicant(s) BENDETT ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Ahmed M. Farah | Art Unit 3735 | |

All Participants:

- (1) Ahmed M. Farah.
(2) Bala Sandararajan (Reg. No. 50,900).

Status of Application: _____

- (3) Gerald H. Kiel (Reg. No. 25,116).
(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 June 2006
Time: 1:45 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

9, 11 and 21

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: As to claims 9 and 21, typographical errors in the claims languages were discussed. Claim 11 was discussed in view of the prior art of record addressed in the Final Office Action. Applicant's representative has authorized the examiner to make changes/amendment(s) by Examiner's Amendment. See the attached Examiner's Amendment. .